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INTERVIEW

How has the Great Recession of 2008 affected higher education in the United States?

BRINT: Some people imagined that the recession would have devastating effects on institutions including higher education. That seemed to be the case at the beginning when there were governors who stated that they were going to cut public higher education by as much as fifty percent. The worst did not materialize. The friends of higher education were able to reduce the severity of the cuts in most states. Stimulus funds also helped.

But we certainly have seen some important consequences of the recession. First, tuition and fees, which were already increasing, rose dramatically. On average, tuition to attend public universities and colleges has increased by fifteen percent. Ultimately the rise in tuition has certainly not facilitated greater access for low-income students. Second, enrollments went up during the recession, partly because higher education is counter-cyclical with the economy: when the economy is struggling, higher education becomes a more attractive alternative to working. Third, colleges and universities have actively pursued international students, because they pay higher tuition. This has led to changing demographics at many universities. The positive side of this is greater geographical diversity and more chances for American students to interact with
students from abroad. Fourth, the number of students who are studying online has grown. Universities can increase the number of students who are taking courses by moving some courses or sections online. The recession also increased emphasis on accountability measures like graduation and employment rates. The recession put wind in the sails of people advancing those measures, especially those that are intended to prepare students for jobs. More students want to study applied disciplines because they think they are more closely connected to jobs. Consequently, physics and math have lost “market share,” just as foreign languages, classics, arts, and other humanities disciplines have lost market share. The arts and sciences that are growing are connected to business or health; economics, biology, and psychology are among the few arts and sciences fields that have grown relative to occupational fields.

In what direction do you see higher education taking in the future? Which development in higher education are you most excited to see continue?

BRINT: I will talk about a few directions that worry me.

The growth of online courses worries me because students who take most or all courses online do not have the opportunity for face-to-face interaction with other students and faculty. That is an important part of high-quality educational experiences. Students who just study online are more disconnected, even though they have opportunities for online interaction. It’s just not the same as studying with other people. Students who take most or all courses online can learn to be technicians, perhaps, but they cannot prepare for fields that require lots of human interaction, and they are not likely to become leaders.

The academic side of college seems to be a diminishing concern for American college students judging from the amount of time most spend on study. By contrast, student organizations, friendship networks, and big-time sports are very prominent parts of the college experience for the majority of students. It’s clear to me that the purpose of college is shifting a bit from intellectual development to networking and becoming engaged in a wide variety of activities, such as volunteering and student organizations. Although I think students miss out by not taking their course work more seriously, I am not as alarmed about this as some, because I think students may learn quite a bit through their involvement in student organizations and friendship networks. What we need to know is what they are learning. Are they learning card playing and drinking games, or are they learning how to organize meetings, write for a deadline, and plan events? I’d feel better if I was convinced that there was more of the latter type of learning than the former. My current research is exploring this topic.

Now some of the more clearly positive directions:

The growth of international students on campus is a positive development, as is the internationalization of the curriculum. The same with students going abroad to study. We are all part of one human race, and it helps for students to know more about people who come from countries other than their own. Not all of these experiences are going to be positive, but even when they are not, they should start productive lines of questioning about others’ cultures and our own.
Our level of deliberativeness about what we want to accomplish in our courses is improving. I notice there are many more professors and staff people who are thinking more about course design, learning objectives, how to achieve learning objectives, and how to assess whether these objectives are being met. The quality of what we do in the classroom is improving because of this. It is also improving because we are learning a lot more about how students learn. We have consequently seen many more efforts at integrating technology and interactive, problem-based teaching practices into intellectually challenging course work.

In the end, I am optimistic about the future of the undergraduate experience. Universities are special places were you can go from a very provincial background to a new, more interesting and varied “big city” environment. That period of time from 18-21 years of age is the best time to take in new things and to develop new ways of being in the world. Universities provide the means for making the jump to the bigger world of thought and action. For students who take advantage of university education, we might say the world changes from black and white to technicolor. We are still very good at producing that effect.
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